

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,228 11/19/2003 Matthias Frericks 92	20-8US (P10182US)	9056
570 7590 07/01/2004	EXAMINI	ER
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P.	MACHUGA, JOSEPH S	
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE		
2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013	3762	<u></u>

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·	10/718,228	FRERICKS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph S. Machuga	3762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<u> </u>	a priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) az (B		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The statement that "the titanium nitride coating has a larger surface on it's side remote from the electrode surface" is not understood. The exact location of where this is and what it exactly means in light of Fig 1A is not clear.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Chitre et al #6430448.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,228 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chitre et al #6430448 in view of Baker, Jr. #4679572.
- 7. Chitre et al discloses an electrode assembly have a tip constructed of a layer on titanium nitride and an out coating of platinum black. Not disclosed by this reference is the layer of Iridium oxide. Baker, Jr. teaches that Iridium oxide is an exception alternative to platinum black in an electrode. The reference also teaches the use of sputtering to deposit the material and notes the use of the electrode for an anode. Given Baker, Jr.'s teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use iridium oxide in place of platinum black in Chitre et al to provide a better coating. To use the device as an anode and deposit the material by sputtering would have been obvious given that the use is old and well known in the art and given that sputtering/electrodepositing is also an old and well excepted method of applying a coating.
- 8. Claim 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chitre et al #6430488 as applied to claims 1 above or Chitre et al #6430448 in view of Baker, Jr. #4679572 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mund et al #4603704. Mund et al teaches that stimulating electrodes used in pacemakers also

Application/Control Number: 10/718,228

Art Unit: 3762

have application in nerve and muscle stimulators. Given this teaching it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the pacemaking electrodes of

Chitre et al or of the proposed combination as a nerve stimulator given Mund et al's

teaching of this.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Machuga whose telephone number is 703-

305-6184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela D Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

angel D.

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700** 

Examiner

Art Unit 3762

Joseph S. Machuga

Page 4